NUMERIAL PROTECTION	
EL ODIDA	
FLORIDA	

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:
AIRS ID#: 0112588 DATE: 02/25/2010 ARRIVE: 10:00 DEPART: 11:00 FACILITY NAME: NAUTICAL FURNISHINGS, INC. FACILITY LOCATION: 3111 WEST MCNAB ROAD POMPANO BEACH 33069-4806 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN CONNELLY PHONE: (954)771-1100 CONTACT NAME: Joakim Jhornhede PHONE: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/27/2006 / 11/27/2011 (effective date) (end date)
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) □Yes ☑ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check $\overline{\square}$ appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
	γ

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	\square Yes \square No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	

d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?------ [Yes] No e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:

implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number	er of cleaning
cycles?	Yes 🗌 No
2) recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes No
3) using water based cleaners?	Yes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
	Yes	No
	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most	_	
	Yes	⊠No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

02/25/2010

Date of Inspection

02/25/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 02/25/2010, AQD staff observed operations at Nautical Furnishings. The facility operates one spray booth and one dust collector. The facility is a wood shop that creates wood decorations for several chain restaurants. Mr. Joakim Hjornhede (shop manager) accompaned AQD staff on the inspection. The spray booth was well maintained and Mr. Hjornhede will be sending records of his VOC usage. (previous years are well under 44 pounds per day of VOC). Houskeeping was good.